## Conformational Studies of Chlorotetrahydropyrans by Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance Spectroscopy

By MUHAMMED SABIR and JOHN A. S. SMITH

(Chemistry Department, Queen Elizabeth College, Campden Hill Road, London W8 7AH)

OLIVIER RIOBÉ, ALAIN LEBOUC, and JACQUES DELAUNAY

(Université Catholique de l'Ouest, Équipe de Recherches C.N.R.S., nº 14, B.P. 858, 49005-Angers Cedex, France)

and JACK COUSSEAU

(Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Université d'Angers, 49045-Angers Cedex, France)

Summary A  ${}^{35}$ Cl nuclear quadrupole resonance study of 2,2,3,3-tetrachlorotetrahydropyran (I) at 77 K has revealed an anomeric shift of *ca*. 2.4 MHz between the chlorine atoms in the 2-position; in 2,3,3-trichlorotetrahydropyran (II), similar arguments show that the 2-chlorine takes up an axial position in the solid state.

RELATIVELY few examples of conformational shifts of n.q.r. frequencies have been reported in the literature. Lucken and his collaborators have advanced theoretical reasons for their existence and have reviewed the experimental evidence,<sup>1,2</sup> and there are two other reports, on the acetylated glycopyranosyl chlorides<sup>3</sup> and the phosphonitrilic chlorides.<sup>4</sup> We report a further well substantiated case of such shifts in the chlorotetrahydropyrans. The <sup>35</sup>Cl n.q.r. frequencies of two such molecules at 77 K are given in the Table. 2,2,3,3-

Tetrachlorotetrahydropyran (I) was prepared bv chlorination of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran<sup>5</sup> in CCl<sub>4</sub> solution at -10 °C; the preparation of 2,3,3-trichlorotetrahydropyran (II) has been reported elsewhere.<sup>6</sup> The assignment of frequencies is based on the analysis of Guibé et al.;3 although compound (I) appears to contain two molecules in the crystalline asymmetric unit, there is a clear indication of an anomeric shift of ca. 2.4 MHz between O-C-Cl<sub>ax</sub> and O-C-Cl<sub>eq</sub>, compared to ca. 2 MHzin peracetylated glycopyranosyl chlorides.<sup>3</sup> The axial chlorine is assigned the lower frequency, in conformity with the conclusions of Guibé et al.3 and Ardalan and Lucken for tetrachlorodioxans.<sup>2</sup> In molecules where conformational shifts are unlikely to be large, replacement of -CCl<sub>2</sub>- by -CHCl- lowers the <sup>35</sup>Cl frequency by 2-3.4 MHz, depending on the other carbon substituents; thus the mean <sup>35</sup>Cl

frequencies in Me[CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>CHCl<sub>2</sub> and (-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub> at 77 K differ by 2.32 MHz.7 It therefore follows that the 2chlorine atom in (II) is almost certainly in the axial position,

TABLE. <sup>35</sup>Cl N.q.r. frequencies (MHz) in chlorotetrahydropyrans at 77 K (approximate signal-to-noise ratios in parentheses).

	C1	C1		
Molecule	-O-C-Clax	O-C-Cleg	-CCl <sub>2</sub> -	
<b>(I)</b>	35.897(12)	38.300(8)	$38 \cdot 495(10)$	39.017(10)
• •	36.052(12)	$38 \cdot 434(8)$	38.576(12)	39.139(10)
(II)	32.952(15)		36.691(15)	37.186(15)

which is the first reliable spectroscopic evidence for the conformation of this molecule. This conclusion is consistent with the observation by Ardalan and Lucken<sup>2</sup> of a

<sup>35</sup>Cl n.q.r. frequency at 77 K of 29.939 MHz in 2-chlorotetrahydropyran, from which they assigned an axial position to the 2-chlorine atom. Thus the axial <sup>35</sup>Cl n.q.r. frequencies drop by a mean value of 3.01 MHz on going from (I) to (II), and by a further 3.03 MHz on going from (II) to 2-chlorotetrahydropyran. Unfortunately, we have failed to find a signal from the 3-chloro-isomer, although it has been reported to be largely equatorial in CCl<sub>4</sub> solution.<sup>8</sup>

The assignments of the  $O-C-Cl_{eq}$  and  $-CCl_2$ - frequencies for (I) are somewhat uncertain, and are largely based on a measurement of the temperature dependence of their frequencies.

## (Received, 28th October 1976; Com. 1204.)

<sup>1</sup> E. A. C. Lucken, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2954; Adv. Nuclear Quad. Resonance, 1974, 1, 235; P. Linscheid and E. A. C. Lucken, Chem. Comm., 1970, 425; Z. de Weck-Ardalan, E. A. C. Lucken, and J. Weber, J. Mol. Structure, 1976, 32, 101.

 <sup>2</sup> Z. Ardalan and E. A. C. Lucken, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1973, 56, 1715.
<sup>3</sup> L. Guibé, J. Augé, S. David, and O. Eisenstein, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1973, 58, 5579.
<sup>4</sup> W. H. Dalgleish, R. Keat, A. L. Porte, and R. A. Shaw, *J. Magnetic Resonance*, 1975, 20, 351; W. H. Dalgleish and A. L. Porte, ibid., p. 359.

<sup>5</sup> O. Riobé, Compt. rend., 1971 (C), 272, 1045.

<sup>6</sup> O. Riobe, *Bull. Soc. chim. France*, 1951, 18, 830; *Compt. vend.*, 1953, 236, 2152.
<sup>7</sup> H. Hooper and P. J. Bray, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1960, 33, 334.
<sup>8</sup> C. B. Anderson and M. P. Geis, *Tetrahedron*, 1975, 31, 1149.